

UNIVERSITAS NEGERI YOGYAKARTA

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND NATURAL SCIENCES DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION Jalan Colombo Nomor 1 Yogyakarta 55281 Telepon: (0274) 565411 Pesawat 217, (0274) 565411 (TU); Fax. (0274) 548203 Laman: fmipa.uny.ac.id, E-mail: humas_fmipa@uny.ac.id

Bachelor of Education in Mathematics

MODULE HANDBOOK

Module name:	Strategies for Mathematics Learning					
Module level, if applicable:	Undergraduate					
Code:	PMA6305					
Sub-heading,if applicable:	-					
Classes,if applicable:	-					
Semester:	4 th					
Module coordinator:	Wahyu Setyaningrum, Ph.D.					
Lecturer(s):	Wahyu Setyaningrum, Ph.D; Dr. Djamilah Bondan W, M.Si;					
	Nila Mareta M, M.Sc					
Language:	Bahasa Indonesia					
Classification within the	Compulsory Course					
curriculum:						
Teaching format / class	150 minutes lectures and 180 minutes structured activities per					
hours per week during the	week					
semester:						
	Total workload is 136 hours per semester which consists of					
Workload:	150 minutes lectures, 180 minutes structured activities, and					
	180 minutes individual study per week for 16 weeks.					
Credit points:	3					
Prerequisites course(s):	-					
Course Outcomes	After taking this course the students have ability to					
	CO1. Demonstrate collaborative attitude and independence					
	in carrying out individual tasks and group assignments					

	CO2. Communicate ideas and thoughts in solving						
	mathematics education problems in writing or verbally						
	CO3. Understanding the nature and philosophy of						
	mathematics and school mathematics						
	CO4. Understanding mathematics learning theories and						
	paradigms						
	CO5. Understanding the domain of mathematics learning						
	CO6. Understanding strategy, models, methods and						
	approaches of mathematics learning						
	CO7. Arranging mathematics learning plans in SMP / MTs,						
	SMA / MA, and Vocational Schools using diverse						
	strategies, approaches, methods, and learning models						
	CO8. Develop learning resources in the form of Student						
	Activity Sheets						
	This source discusses the philosophy of methometice						
	adjustion surront mathematics surriculum mathematics						
	education, current mathematics curriculum, mathematics						
	and ashael methometics, the demains of methometics						
	and school mathematics, the domains of mathematics						
Content:	methometics loarning in the 21st contury student						
	characteristics and multiple intelligences methometics						
	teacher competence mathematics learning approaches and						
	models Losson Plan and Student Workshoot and simulation						
	of various mathematics loarning strategies						
	Attitude assessment is carried out at each meeting by						
	observation and / or self-assessment techniques using the						
Study/oxam achiovomonte:	assumption that basically every student has a good attitude						
	The student is given a value of very good or not good attitudeit						
	they show it significantly compared to other students in						
	deneral The result of attitude assessment is not a component						
	of the final grades, but as one of the requirements to pass the						
	course. Students will pass from this course if at least have a						
	and attitude						

	The final mark will be weight as follow:							
	No CO		Assessment Object	Assessment Technique	Weight			
	1	CO2	Presentation	Observation	10%			
	2	CO3 CO4 CO5 CO6 CO7	 a. Individual assignment b. Group assignment c. Quiz d. Mid exam e. Post exam 	Written test	10% 10% 15% 20% 25%			
	3	CO8	Lesson Plan and Student Activity Sheets	Observation	10%			
		1	1	Total	100%			
Forms of media:	Board	d, LCD	Projector, Laptop/Comp	uter				
Literature:	 Board, LCD Projector, Laptop/Computer Arends, R.T. & Kilcher, Ann. 2010. <i>Teaching for Student Learning. Becoming an Accomplished Teacher</i>. New York: Routldge. Becker, J.P & Shimada, S. (Eds.). <i>Open-Ended Approach: A New Proposal for Teaching Mathematics</i>. NCTM. Cohen & Brody (Ed.). 2004. Teaching Cooperative Learning: The Challenge for Teacher Education. New York: Suny. Delisle, R. (1997). How to Use Problem-Based Learning in the Classroom. Virginia: ASCD. Jacobsen, D. A., Eggen, P., & Kauchak, D. 2006. Methods for teaching: promoting student learning in K-12 classrooms. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson. Joyce, Bruce & Weil, Marsha (1996). Models of Teaching. Boston: Allyn and Bacon. Muschla, J.A. & Muschla, G.R. (2006). Hand-On Math projects with Real-Life Applications. Sanfransisco, USA: Instance. 							

8. Nucci, L.P. & Narvaez, D. 2008. Handbook c	of Moral and						
Character Education. New York: Routledge.							
9. Polya, G. (1989). How to Solve It. NJ: Prentie	ce-Hall.						
10. Suherman, Erman dkk. 2001. Common	Text Book;						
Strategi Pembelajaran Matematika Ko	ontemporer.						
Bandung: JICA-UPI.	Bandung: JICA-UPI.						
11. Westwood, Peter. 2008. What Teacher Net	ed to Know						
about Teaching Method. Victoria,	Australia:						
Camberwell, Vic.							

PLO and CO Mapping

	PLO1	PLO2	PLO3	PLO4	PLO5	PLO6	PLO7	PLO8	PLO9	PLO10	PLO11	PLO12
CO1		~										
CO2			~									
CO3						~						
CO4						~						
CO5						~						
CO6						~						
C07								~				
CO8										~		